

INITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 12/06/99 . C:AMPANA 05/45E,409; T80.29843CX4 **EXAMINER** 020457- -WM41/0521 ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS TROST IV.W ART.UNIT PAPER NUMBER : SUITE 1800, 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET : ARLINSTON VA 22209 26.83

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or

DATE MAILED:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

05/21/01

proceeding.

12	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/455,409	CAMPANA ET AL.		
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit		
	William Trost	2683		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS nerewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance and Issue ITHIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATE nitiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 C	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this appropriate comm NT RIGHTS. This application is su	oplication. If not included nunication will be mailed in due course.		
1. This communication is responsive to the petition decided 4	<u>1-18-01</u> .			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 125-789 (renumbered 1-665).				
3. $igotimes$ The drawings filed on <u>25 February 2000</u> are acceptable as				
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
 Certified copies of the priority documents have 	been received.			
Certified copies of the priority documents have				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in this	national stage application from the		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* Certified copies not received:				
5. Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority				
Applicate has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of FOR SUBMITTING NEW FORMAL DRAWINGS, OR A SUBSTICOMPLYING WITH THE DEPOSIT OF B	this application. THIS THREE-MC TUTE OATH OR DECLARATION.	ONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE This three-month period for		
6. Tote the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE the oath or declaration is deficient. A SUBSTITUTE OAT	E OF INFORMAL APPLICATION (F H OR DECLARATION IS REQUIR	PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why ED.		
7. Applicant MUST submit NEW FORMAL DRAWINGS				
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper	son's Patent Drawing Review(PTC	0-948) attached		
1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No				
(b) including changes required by the proposed drawing	correction filed, which has l	been approved by the examiner		
(c) including changes required by the attached Examiner	's Amendment / Comment or in the	Office action of Paper No		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 3 should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal lett	7 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written er addressed to the Official Drat	on the drawings. The drawings ftsperson.		
8. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUI	REMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF	BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
Any reply to this letter should include, in the upper right hand corr applicant has received a Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due, ALLOWANCE should also be included.	ner, the APPLICATION NUMBER (the ISSUE BATCH NUMBER and	SERIES CODE / SERIAL NUMBER). If DATE of the NOTICE OF		
Attachment(s)				
 1 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3 □ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449), Paper No. 1 7 □ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	4☐ Interview Sumn <u>5</u> . 6☐ Examiner's Am	nal Patent Application (PTO-152) nary (PTO-413), Paper No endment/Comment tement of Reasons for Allowance		
		William Trost Examiner Art Unit: 2683		

Application/Control Number: 09/455,409

Art Unit: 2683

1. Claims 125-789 are allowed.

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The present invention relates to a wireless electronic mail system including processors or mobile processors which act as a destination for electronic mail. The present invention further discloses originating processors which originate the electronic mail, where the originated information is transmitted to the RF transmission network with an address of the RF receiver or destination processor being added at the originating processor or by the electronic mail system that contains the one originating processor. Further, the address of a destination processor is included in the originated information. Although the prior art disclose wireless electronic mail systems, the prior art of record fails to teach or reasonably suggest a system that provides the additional information which is added during the transmission by a destination processor.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Trost whose telephone number is 703-308-5318. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00, Monday - Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 09/455,409

Art Unit: 2683

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-6306 for regular communications and 703-308-6306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 305-4700.

William Trost

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2683

May 15, 2001

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
09/455,409	CAMPANA ET AL				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Nay A. Maung	2681				

Interview Summary	007 700, 700	1	-
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nay A. Maung	2681	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Nay Maung (PTO).	(3)		
(2)	(4)		
Date of Interview: 07 June 2001.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2) applicant's representativ	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)∐ No.		
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed: .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g) was not reached. h)] N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general eached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative notified to the Customer Ser	-		
allowance; therefore, the examiner will remail all parts of th		parts of the noti	Le Oi
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no compallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	poy of the amendments that w		
i)⊠ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se checked).	parate record of the substance	e of the interview	(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORI MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	. (See MPEP Section 713.04) MONTH FROM THIS INTERV	. If a reply to the /IEW DATE TO F	e last Office FILE A
		•	
. (
	N. L NAY MAU PRIMARY EX 703-308-	M NG MINER	
	703-308-	7745	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action	Examiner's signa		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03-98)

Interview Summary

Paper No



Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1 111, 1 135 (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview

#7

- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, of when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check the appropriate box at the bottom of the form which informs the applicant that the submission of a separate record of the substance of the interview as a supplement to the Form is not required.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items.

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview of the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND ISSUE FEE DUE

CORRECTED COPY
020457 WM41/0607
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS
SUITE 1800
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET
ARLINGTON VA 22209

APPLICATION NO. FI		FIL	FILING DATE TOTAL CLAIMS				EXAMINER AND GROUP ART UNIT				DATE MAILED	
	09/455,4	109	12/06/9	99	665	MA	UNG,	N		26	81	06/07/
First Named Applicant	CAMPAN	1A,			35	USC	154 (1	5)	term ext. =	0	Days	; a

TITLE OF INVENTION

ELECTRONIC MAIL SYSTEM WITH RF COMMUNICATIONS TO MOBILE PROCESSORS

ATTY'S DOCKET NO. CLASS-SUBCLASS		BCLASS	BATCH NO. APPLN. TYPE			SMALL ENTITY	' FE	FEE DUE		DATE DUE	
2	780.29	643CX4	455	412.000	V43	UT	ILITY	YES	\$620	.00	09/07/0

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED.

THE ISSUE FEE MUST BE PAID WITHIN <u>THREE MONTHS</u> FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. <u>THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED.</u>

HOW TO RESPOND TO THIS NOTICE:

- Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.
 If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:
 - A. If the status is changed, pay twice the amount of the FEE DUE shown above and notify the Patent and Trademark Office of the change in status, or
 - B. If the status is the same, pay the FEE DUE shown above.
- If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:
- A. Pay FEE DUE shown above, or
- B. File verified statement of Small Entity Status before, or with, payment of 1/2 the FEE DUE shown above.
- II. Part B-Issue Fee Transmittal should be completed and returned to the Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) with your ISSUE FEE. Even if the ISSUE FEE has already been paid by charge to deposit account, Part B Issue Fee Transmittal should be completed and returned. If you are charging the ISSUE FEE to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B-Issue Fee Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted.
- III. All communications regarding this application must give application number and batch number.

 Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Box ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE COPY